## Test 4

## NAME: Solutions

**Problem 1.** A 2-cycle is called a *transposition*. Prove that a k-cycle can be expressed as product of k - 1 transpositions.

Solution. We will argue using induction. The statement is clearly true for k = 2, as a 2-cycle is itself a transposition. Now fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that every k-cycle is a product of k - 1 transpositions. We will show that every that (k + 1)-cycle is a product of k transpositions, at which point the proof will be complete by the principle of induction.

Let  $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{k+1})$  be a (k+1)-cycle. We claim that  $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)(a_k a_{k+1})$ . Indeed, this can be verified in several cases, first for elements of the form  $a_i$  with i < k, then for  $a_k$ , then  $a_{k+1}$ , and finally for  $x \neq a_i$ ; I leave it to you. By the inductive hypothesis,  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$  is product of k-1 transpositions, and so we see that  $\sigma$  is a product of k transpositions.

**Problem 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq 3$ . Prove that every permutation in  $A_n$  can be expressed as a product of 3-cycles.

Solution. We start by claiming that the product of two transpositions can be expressed as a product of 3-cycles. We do so by cases. Let  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  be two transpositions. If  $\rho = \tau$ , then  $\tau \rho$  is the identity, which we can write as  $(123)^3$ , a product of 3-cycles. Now, if  $\rho \neq \tau$ , either  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  are disjoint or not. If they are not disjoint, then we have three distinct numbers a, b, and c such that  $\tau = (a b)$  and  $\rho = (a c)$ . In this case,

$$\tau \rho = (a b)(a c) = (a c b).$$

Finally, if  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  are disjoint, then there exists four pairwise-distinct numbers a, b, c, and d such that  $\tau = (a b)$  and  $\rho = (c d)$ . In this case,

$$\tau \rho = (a b)(c d) = (a b c)(b c d).$$

This finishes the proof of the claim.

Now, let  $\sigma \in A_n$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an even permutation and so there exists transpositions  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{2k}$  such that  $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{2k}$ . For  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , let  $\mu_i = \tau_{2i-1}\tau_{2i}$ . Then, by the above claim,  $\mu_i$  is a product of 3-cycles. To finish, observe that  $\sigma = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_k$ , and hence  $\sigma$  is a product of 3-cycles.